The 8th Annual Conference of the PHM Society



Panel Discussion on:

Fielded Systems

6-Oct-2016, 1:15-3:00 PM MDT

Photo credit: Charles Knowles [free content] via flickr.com



Introducing Today's Panel

Chair:

- Andy Hess, Hess PHM Group

Panelists:

- Steve Holland, General Motors
- Tim Felke, Honeywell
- Pete Carini, UTC
- Gary Larivee GDLS-C



Steve Holland

- Currently, Research Fellow, Vehicle Health Management at GM Global R&D
- 40+ years of experience at GM in R&D and Manufacturing Eng./Robotics



- Chief Technologist: applying PHM technologies to GM vehicles (10 yrs)
- Previously R&D Director: application of PHM to improve GM plant throughput (4 yrs)
- Bachelors/EE from Kettering & Masters/CS from Stanford
- PHM Board of Directors & Member of PHM International Scientific Committee
- SAE Member: HM-1 IVHM Standards & IVHM Steering Committee
- Professional Engineer & IEEE Fellow

steven.w.holland@gm.com

October 2016

IVHM Capability Levels for Aerospace/Automotive

IVHM Capability Levels for Aerospace/Automotive								
SAE Level	Vehicle Health Capability	Narrative Description	Participation in Repair Actions	Key Data Resources	Availability of Logged &/or Real-Time Data	Use of Supporting Models	IVHM System Characteristics	
Manual Diagnosis & Repair Process performed by Technician								
0	Limited On-Vehicle Warning Indicators	Service actions for scheduled maintenance or when Operator notices problems or is alerted by indicator lights or simple gages.	Operator/Driver & Service Tech	On-Vehicle Measurements & Observation	N/A	Paper-based Manuals	Only Manual Diagnostic Tools & No Condition- Based Services	
1	Enhanced Diagnostics Using Scan Tools	Service techs gain added diagnostic insight using automated scanners to extract vehicle operating parameters & diagnostic codes	Operator/Driver & Service Tech	On-Vehicle & Service Bay/ Depot Tools	Logged Diagnostic Codes & Parameters available to Service Tech	Paper-based Manuals	On-Board Diagnostics Available	
2	Telematics Providing Real-Time Data	Service techs gain real-time vehicle data via remote monitoring of vehicle to more completely capture issues	Operator/Driver, Service Tech & Remote Support Center Advisor	On-Vehicle, Service Bay / Depot & Cloud Data	Telematic Data Available to Service Tech with Diagnostics Info	Paper-based Manuals	On-Board & Remote Data Available	
Diagnosis & Repair Augmented by Prognosis & Predictive Analytics								
3	Component Level Proactive Alerts	Operator and service techs are provided with component health status (R/Y/G) before problem occurs . Limited condition-based maintenance	Operator/Driver, Service Tech & Cloud-Based Services	On-Vehicle, Service Bay & Cloud Data	Telematic Data Available to Service Tech with Diagnostics Info	Addition of Component- Level Health Models	Component-Level Health Predictions	
4	Integrated Vehicle Health Mgmt.	Operator and service techs are provided with system or vehicle level health indicators before problems occur with remaining useful life estimated. Condition-based maintenance	Operator/Driver, Service Tech & Cloud-Based Services	On-Vehicle, Service Bay & Cloud Data	Telematic Data Available to Service Tech with Diagnostics Info	Addition of Vehicle-Level Health Models	Vehicle-Level Health Management	
5	Self- Adaptive Health Mgmt.	Self-adaptive control to extend vehicle operation and enhance safety in presence of potential or actual failures	Operator/Driver, Service Tech & Cloud-Based Services	On-Vehicle, Service Bay & Cloud Data	Telematic Data Available to Service Tech with Diagnostics Info	Addition of Vehicle-Level Health Models	IVHM Capability Integrated into Vehicle Controls	

GM's Prognostics Press Release @CES Jan 4, 2015

Chevrolet Opens New Chapter for Driver Assurance Customers will soon drive vehicles that can predict future service needs

DETROIT – Chevrolet is using advanced connected vehicle technology to give customers an unprecedented level of assurance in their vehicles later this year. This industry-leading prognostic technology can predict and notify drivers when certain components need attention – in many cases before vehicle performance is impacted.

The predictive technology is initial **OnStar** keeping a vehicle rupping **Motors' OnStar** "This: **General Motors' OnStar** s and cor **Chevrolet Onstar** be added in future model years. stomer **prognostic** bresident, **Stomer Prognostic** on the industry of the store of ne batter s and cor Chevrolet Onstar breakdown prediction coexpands with prognostic <u>call service in the industry,"</u> m, we can actively monitor moments need attention. Nobody else in the industry Butechnology -10-year history of connecte + FOLLOW heir propeny e 4G LIE to provide data streams from senso vehicle in this service, the data is sent to Or Chevrolet Vehicles Will Soon Predict vehicle in this service, the data to service with the service of t applied to assess sent to the customer via Chevrolet to Use Magic Hoodoo to Deliver informed from Prognostic capability is the latest advancement in a sume of the first day of ownership through many years into the future. Prognostic Vehicle Data to Owners GM

GM's 2nd Press Release – May 9, 2016





- Everything wears out over time
- Customer's life is disrupted, when his/her vehicle needs repair unexpectedly
- The solution Vehicle Health Management (VHM)
 - Alert before failure happens
 - Transform an emergency repair to planned maintenance
 - Enhance ownership experience a delight to customers
- Introducing OnStar[™] Proactive Alerts
 - a new customer care service





CHEVROLET: SOLVING ISSUES BEFORE THEY HAPPEN

OnStar Proactive Alerts predict when certain components need attention



October 2016



Where are we today on OnStar[™] Proactive Alerts?

- Launched on
 - 2016 Chevrolet Equinox
 - 2016 Chevrolet Tahoe
 - 2016 Chevrolet Suburban
 - 2016 Chevrolet Corvette
 - 2016 Chevrolet Silverado
 - 2016 GMC Terrain
 - 2016 GMC Yukon
 - 2016 GMC Sierra
 - 2016 Cadillac Escalade
- Currently cover three critical components
 - battery, starter, fuel pump
- Will be extended to more GM vehicle programs and cover other critical vehicle components over time



Tim Felke

 30+ years of experience in Condition Based Maintenance (CBM) and Integrated Vehicle Health Management (IVHM)



- Currently; Engineering Fellow, Honeywell IVHM Systems
- Previously;
 - Senior Technical Manager for Honeywell's Common IVHM Architecture
 - Technical Lead for Deployment of IVHM in Automotive Applications
 - Data Architect for US Army's Platform-Soldier, Mission Readiness System
 - Technical Lead for Diagnostics and Fault Model Development of Central Maintenance Computer (CMC) for Boeing 777 and 787 Aircraft

Constant Street Street





Honeywell Fielded Systems: Central Maintenance Computing Systems

777: Monitors 85% of AC systems

80% Reduced NFFs
50% Reduced Repair Turn Time
>99% Dispatch reliability

787: 65,000 parameters monitored

150 systems, 1100 ECUs
Advanced Diagnostic Modeling
30% DMC savings projected vs.767-300
Includes Cyber Security Features

Business and Regional Jets

15 Major OEMsNose to Tail Coverage for CMCF and ACMF



October 2016



Honeywell Fielded Systems: Helo Health & Usage Monitoring

Vibration Monitoring and Analytics: Rotor Track and Balance

95% reduction in aborts for vibration
\$102M in IVHM savings over 26 months
Readiness Increased by 5-8%
Class A mishaps reduced 9-12%
Parts Costs per FH Reduced 12-22%
Maintenance reduced by over 2950 mh

HUMS = Safety, Lower Cost, Higher Readiness





Honeywell Fielded Systems: Auxiliary Power Unit Trend Monitoring

APU Health Prediction

- 4500 APUs Monitored
- On-wing time: 75% improvement
- Eliminate maintenance inspections
- Web-based tool gathers APU sensor data
- Real-time, in-flight data transmission
- \$1.2M annual maintenance cost savings/vehicle





Honeywell Fielded Systems: Automotive Model Based Diagnostics

Adapting IVHM for Ground Vehicles

- Low cost diagnostic modelingDeal with high variation
- =Dear with high variation
- Improve Diagnostic Accuracy
- Reduced NTFs
- Reduce Intermittent Faults

Warranty Cost Savings (Projected)

50% reduction in unnecessary repairs\$100M+ annual warranty cost savings



Content of the second s



October 2016



Dealing with Fleet Variation: Problem Statement

- Sources of Variation
 - Market / Usage Driven Product Variation
 - Evolution of Requirements (Regulatory, Safety, Efficiency, Customer Preference)
 - Versions: Evolution of Implementations (to address new requirements, reduce cost, improve reliability)
 - Variants: Introduction of new system / part as an alternative to an old one.

Effects of Variation on Asset

- Changes to BOM, Failure Modes, Functions and expected Symptoms
- Changes to Failure Occurrence Rates
- Changes to Failure / Symptom CoOccurrence Rates
- Changes to Parametric Reporting Messages
- Changes to Fault Reporting Messages

• Effects of Variation on IVHM System

- Changes to IO and Decode Functions
- Changes to Fault Detection Algorithm Parameters and Trip Points
- Changes to Fault Isolation Logic
- Changes to Changes to Fault Prediction Algorithm Parameters and Trip Points
- Changes to Maintenance Procedures
- Changes to Logistics and Parts Management

October 2016



- Minimize Changes to Software, Have Variation Handled by the Reference Model
- Maximize Use of Design Data in Model Integration Process
- Produce Separate Reference Models for Each Major Variant (e.g., Model-Year)
- Use Effectivity Tags within Reference Model to Encode Minor Variation within a Major Variant (e.g., Service Bulletins, Part Changes, etc.)





PHM Society - Fielded Systems Panel

Pete Carini, Engineering Platform Chief PHM & SHM

10/6/2016



Where ingenuity takes off ™

This document or file does not contain export controlled technology or technical data.

UTC AEROSPACE SYSTEMS

Hamilton Sundstrand / Goodrich Integration

Product portfolio



Legacy Hamilton Sundstrand

One company





HUMS History





HUMS Successes





The U.S. Army recognized a reduction in Non-Mission Capability Maintenance rates of 10% for aircraft deployed into combat operations

Maintenance Reductions					
Unscheduled MMH/FH	-52%				
Mission Aborts MMH/FH	-48%				
Total MMH/FH	-17%				

* Actual data from U.S. Army Deployed UH-60 Black Hawk helicopters

In the first one-year deployment of 38 aircraft equipped with UTC Aerospace Systems HUMS in an Army battalion, the battalion executed 27% more missions than a non-equipped sister battalion with the same mission profile. The HUMS-equipped battalion set a new Army record for the most missions accomplished in a one-year period, never missing or aborting a mission due to mechanical problems.



- Everything works as designed until it is tested. At the point where it is tested, it fails as designed.
- We should not seek to "pass the test" but rather aspire to "fail to break" the system.

It is by exposing the flaws and limitations in a system that we can improve the systems we build.

CBM – US Army Stryker



THE THINGS THAT HELP PREDICT ARE LOOKING AT THE TITLE,COVER AND CHARACTERS, ALSO READING THE FIRST PART OF THE BOOK.



GDLS Lessons Learned

Cost of Entry

- Average miles / hours driving and at idle
- Average speed attained while vehicles in use
- Average fuel consumption while driving and at idle



- PVT testing maintains approx. 60% engine load for duration of day In theatre engine load rarely exceeds 60%
- PVT test requires constant vehicle movement In theatre vehicle is idle ~ 70 % of mission

CBM Driven Investigations – 28 OEF Condition Indicators Lead to Preventive Maintenance



GDLS Analysis Findings:

Vehicle Usage

